Constraining the nature of gravitational waves and alternative theories of gravity using binary pulsars

Paulo C. C. Freire

Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie Bonn, Germany



Talk at WINTER WORKSHOP: MULTIMESSENGERS, COMPACT OBJECTS AND FUNDAMENTAL PHYSICS Prague, Czech Republic, 4-7 December 2019

- 1. Pulsars and pulsar timing
- 2. Binary pulsars, and gravitational wave emission
- 3. Tests of alternative theories of gravity, experiments on the nature of gravitational waves
- 4. Closing the "scalarization gap".
- 5. Conclusions

1. Pulsars and pulsar timing

What are pulsars?



Neutron stars are the remnants of extremely massive stars. Towards the end of their lives they explode as Supernovae:

This stuff is so dense we don't know what it is.

POINT MASSES

Non-trivial grav. Prop.

We can time the spin precisely!

Pulsar timing

• Once we find a pulsar, it is interesting to find out how regularly the pulses arrive at the Earth.



Pulsar timing measures pulsar arrival time at | the telescope (TOA):





Pulsar timing

The trends in the residuals will tell us what parameter(s) needs correction: generally, all of them!



From: "The Pulsar Handbook", Lorimer & Kramer 2005

The P-Pdot diagram and binary pulsars

- > 3000 pulsars!
- Periods: 1.396 ms 23.5 s
- The spin period and the period derivative tell us a lot about the pulsar – its age, magnetic field, spin-down energy, etc.
- Many interesting trends appear in the *P- Pdot diagram*:
 - Like the Crab, youngest pulsars tend to be associated with SN
 - The fastest pulsars are *not* the youngest, but the oldest,
 - Most of these are in binary systems, where they have been recycled.



The P-Pdot diagram and binary pulsars

 10^{-10} 10% are in binary systems 10¹⁴G 10^{-12} Orbital period range: 95 min to > 200 10¹²G • yr. The companions are: Period derivative (s/s) 10_{-16} 10_{-18} ordinary stars 10¹⁰G white dwarfs neutron stars 10-20 black holes ? 10⁸G 10⁻²² 10-2 One pulsar (J0337+1715) in a stellar 10⁻¹ 10⁰ 10-3 Period (s) triple!

 10^{1}

The P-Pdot diagram and binary pulsars



Timing pulsars in binary systems



- In a binary pulsar, having a clock in the system allows us to measure the <u>range</u> relative to the center of mass of the binary.
- The 5 Keplerian orbital parameters derived from pulsar timing are <u>thousands of times</u> more precise than derived from Doppler measurements – *with the same* observational data!
- <u>This feature is unique to pulsars</u>, and is the fundamental reason why they are superior astrophysical tools.
- This is the reason why I am giving this talk here!
- Plus: IT'S A CLEAN EXPERIMENT!

An example relevant for this talk: PSR J1738+0333

- Number of rotations between 52872.01692 and 55813.95899 (SSB): **43 449 485 656 ± 0**.
- Spin period at reference epoch: 0.005 850 095 859 775 683 ± 0.000 000 000 000 000 005 s
- Orbital period: 8^h 30^m 53.919 926 4 ± 0.000 000 3 s
- Semi-major axis of the pulsar's orbit, projected along the line of sight: **102 957 453 ± 6 m**.
- Eccentricity: $(3 \pm 1) \times 10^{-7}$. This means that the orbit deviates from a circle by $(5 \pm 3) \mu m!$
- Proper motion: 7.037 ± 0.005 mas yr⁻¹, 5.073 ± 0.012 mas yr⁻¹, parallax: 0.68 ± 0.05 mas.

See Freire et al. 2012, MNRAS, 423, 3328.

2. Binary pulsars and GW emission

The first binary pulsar - 1974



"Here was a system that featured, in addition to significant post-Newtonian gravitational effects, **highly relativistic gravitational fields** associated with the pulsar (and possibly its companion) and the possibility of the **emission of gravitational radiation** by the binary system." (Clifford Will, TEGP 2018)



Pulse period P :	59.0 ms
Orbital period P_b :	7.75 h
Eccentricity e :	0.617
Pulsar mass m_p :	I.44 M₀
Companion mass m_c :	$1.39~M_{\odot}~$ (neutron star)

From: Hulse & Taylor, 1975, ApJ, 195, 51





IF a binary pulsar is compact and eccentric – which B1913+16 certainly is – the timing precision allows the measurement of several relativistic effects:

- The advance of periastron
- The Einstein delay



Assuming GR, to 1 PN:

 $M = M_{\rm p} + M_{\rm c}$ $n_{\rm b} = \frac{2\pi}{P_{\rm b}}$ $\dot{\omega} = \frac{3n_{\rm b}^{5/3}}{1 - e^2} (MT_{\odot})^{2/3}$



Assuming GR, to 1 PN:

$$M = M_{\rm p} + M_{\rm c}$$

$$n_{\rm b} = \frac{2\pi}{P_{\rm b}}$$

$$\dot{\omega} = \frac{3n_{\rm b}^{5/3}}{1 - e^2} (MT_{\odot})^{2/3}$$

$$\gamma = \frac{eT_{\odot}^{2/3}}{n_{\rm b}^{1/3}} \frac{M_{\rm c}(2M_{\rm c} + M_{\rm p})}{M^{4/3}}$$

- 3 equations for 3 unknowns! Precise masses can be derived!
- This was at the time the most precise measurement of any mass outside the solar system.



A third relativistic effect soon became measurable - the orbital decay due to GW emission! 2.5 Assuming GR, LO PN $[(v/c)^5]$: ω Companion Mass (M₀) 2 $\dot{P}_{\rm b} = -\frac{192}{5} (n_{\rm b}T_{\odot})^{5/3} f_e \frac{M_{\rm p}M_{\rm c}}{M^{1/3}}$ $f_e = \frac{1}{(1-e^2)^{7/2}} \left(1 + \frac{73}{24}e^2 + \frac{37}{96}e^4 \right)$ Prediction: the orbital period should decrease at a rate of $-2.40247 \times$ 10^{-12} s/s (or 75 µs per year!) 0.5 Effect not detectable in Solar System. 0 0.5 1.5 2.5 2 1 3 0

Pulsar Mass (M_o)

Ю

- Rate is –2.4085(52) x 10⁻¹² s/s.
 Agreement with GR is perfect!
- GR gives a self-consistent estimate of the component masses!



Gravitational waves exist!





Weisberg, J.M., and Taylor, J.H., "The Relativistic Binary Pulsar B1913+16", in Bailes, M., Nice, D.J., and Thorsett, S.E., eds., Radio Pulsars:. Proceedings of a Meeting held at Mediterranean Agronomic Institute of Chania, Crete, Greece, 26 – 29 August 2002, ASP Conference Proceedings, vol. 302, (Astronomical Society of the Pacific, San Francisco, 2003).

Nobel Prize in Physics, 1993

For the discovery of the binary pulsar, Russel Hulse and Joseph Taylor were awarded the Nobel Prize in Physics, 1993



Gravitational Waves Exist!

"(...) the observation of the orbital decay in the TOAs of a binary pulsar is a direct effect of the retarded propagation (at the speed of light, and with a quadrupolar structure) of the gravitational interaction between the companion and the pulsar. In that sense, the Hulse-Taylor pulsar provides a direct observational proof that gravity propagates at the speed of light, and has a quadrupolar structure."

Damour, 2014, Classical and Quantum Gravity, Volume 32, Issue 12, article id. 124009 (2015). He adds:

"The latter point is confirmed by the theoretical computation of the orbital decay in alternative theories of gravity where the non purely quadrupolar (i.e. non purely spin 2) structure of the gravitational interaction generically induces drastic changes (....)"

The "Double Pulsar": PSR J0737-3039

Discovered in the Galactic anti-center survey with Parkes (Burgay et al. 2003, Nature, 426, 531)





The "Double Pulsar": PSR J0737-3039





Orbital period : 2.45 h

Eccentricity: 0.088





From: Kramer et al. 2006

#2: this super-relativistic system has a very high inclination. *Shapiro delay* is well measured, providing two extra mass constraints!

$$f(M_{\rm p}, M_{\rm c}, i) \equiv \frac{(M_{\rm c} \sin i)^3}{(M_{\rm p} + M_{\rm c})^3} = \frac{4\pi^2}{T_{\odot}} \frac{x^3}{P_{\rm b}^2}$$
$$\dot{\omega} = \frac{3n_{\rm b}^{5/3}}{1 - e^2} (MT_{\odot})^{2/3}$$
$$\gamma = \frac{eT_{\odot}^{2/3}}{n_{\rm b}^{1/3}} \frac{M_{\rm c}(2M_{\rm c} + M_{\rm p})}{M^{4/3}}$$
$$\dot{P}_{\rm b} = -\frac{192}{5} (n_{\rm b}T_{\odot})^{5/3} f_e \frac{M_{\rm p}M_{\rm c}}{M^{1/3}}$$
$$r = T_{\odot}M_{\rm c}$$
$$s = \sin i = \frac{xn_b^{2/3}}{T_{\odot}^{1/3}} \frac{M^{2/3}}{M_{\rm c}}$$

.

#3: The second NS in the system (PSR J0737–3039B) was detectable as a radio pulsar!



From: Lyne et al. (2004)

6 mass constraints for 2 unknowns! 4 independent tests of GR!

- GR passes all 4 tests with flying colors!
- There is a fifth test, from geodetic precession of PSR J0737–3039B (Breton et al. 2008, Science), which we mention later.



Kramer et al. 2006, Science, 314, 97





[Kramer et al., in prep.]

[LSC, 2016, 2018, Kramer et al., in prep.]

The double pulsar and TeVeS

These results are already enough to exclude TeVeS - or at least that it yields MOND



m_A (**M**_{sun}) [*Kramer et al., in prep.; Wex, Esposito-Farèse et al., in prep.*]

3. Tests of alternative theories of gravity, experiments* on the nature of gravitational waves

*These really are experiments: Nature changes the experimental setup, i.e., the orbit of the binary and the nature and masses of the components. - our role is to make the measurements

Could Einstein still be wrong?

- Many alternative theories of gravity predict violation of the strong equivalence principle (SEP).
 Consequences:
 - 1. Dipolar gravitational wave (DGW) emission (tight orbits, 1.5 PN, or 1/c³)

$$\dot{P}_{\rm b}^{D} = -2\pi n_{b} \frac{G_{*}M_{\rm WD}}{c^{3}} \frac{q}{q+1} \frac{1+e^{2}/2}{(1-e^{2})^{5/2}} (\alpha_{\rm p} - \alpha_{\rm WD})^{2}$$

- 2. Orbital polarization (Nordtvedt effect, for wide orbits AND PULSAR IN TRIPLE SYSTEM)
- 3. Variation of Newton's gravitational constant G.
- Detecting <u>any</u> of these effects would falsify GR!
- The first two depend on *difference* of compactness between members of the binary. Therefore, pulsar – white dwarf systems might show these effects, even if they are not detectable in the double pulsar!

Pulsar – White dwarf systems



- For GR tests with these systems, mass measurements are *absolutely necessary*.
- Furthermore, it is thought that these could be more massive, given the much longer accretion episode!
- So, we REALLY WANT TO MEASURE THEIR MASSES!
- Measuring masses much more difficult since generally orbits are so circular!



Lorimer, D., Living Rev. Relativity 11 (2008), 8

PSR J0348+0432



- This is a pulsar with a spin period of 39 ms discovered in a GBT 350-MHz drift-scan survey (Lynch et al. 2013, ApJ. 763, 81).
- It has a WD companion and (by far) the shortest orbital period for a pulsar-WD system: 2h 27 min.



Transverse position (light seconds)

Once again, Nature comes to the rescue!



PSR J0348+0432



The same VLT observations also determine the mass ratio!

Pulsar mass: $(2.01 \pm 0.04) M_{\odot}$! (Antoniadis, Freire, Wex, Tauris et al. 2013, Science, 340, n. 6131).

- Most massive NS with a precise mass measurement!
- Prediction for orbital decay: $-8.1 \,\mu s \, yr^{-1}$



Credit: Luis Calçada, ESO. See video at: http://www.eso.org/public/videos/eso1319a/

Constraints on the equation of state



Mass measurements have direct implications for the EOS of dense matter!

Figure by Norbert Wex. See http://www3.mpifr-bonn.mpg.de/staff/pfreire/NS_masses.html

Orbital decay: as expected by GR!



Constraints on DEF gravity





4. Closing the "scalarization gap"

Constraints on spontaneous scalarization



M. Shibata, K. Taniguchi, H. Okawa, and A. Buonanno, Phys. Rev. D 89, 084005 (2014)

- Stars can de-scalarize at the largest masses allowed by the EOS.
- This implies that, if maximum NS mass is close to 2 solar masses, we can still have spontaneous scalarization in between the masses of PSR J1738+0333 and PSR J0348+0432



EINSTEIN@HOME DISCOVERY OF A DOUBLE NEUTRON STAR BINARY IN THE PALFA SURVEY

P. LAZARUS¹, P. C. C. FREIRE¹, B. ALLEN^{2,3,4}, C. AULBERT^{2,3}, O. BOCK^{2,3}, S. BOGDANOV⁵, A. BRAZIER^{6,7}, F. CAMILO⁸, F. CARDOSO⁹, S. CHATTERJEE⁶, J. M. CORDES⁶, F. CRAWFORD¹⁰, J. S. DENEVA²⁰, H.-B. EGGENSTEIN^{2,3}, H. FEHRMANN^{2,3}, R. FERDMAN^{11,12}, J. W. T. HESSELS^{13,14}, F. A. JENET¹⁵, C. KARAKO-ARGAMAN^{11,12}, V. M. KASPI^{11,12}, B. KNISPEL^{2,3}, R. LYNCH¹⁶, J. VAN LEEUWEN^{13,14}, B. MACHENSCHALK^{2,3}, E. MADSEN^{11,12}, M. A. MCLAUGHLIN⁹, C. PATEL^{11,12}, S. M. RANSOM¹⁶, P. SCHOLZ^{11,12}, A. SEYMOUR¹⁷, X. SIEMENS⁴, L. G. SPITLER¹, I. H. STAIRS^{12,18}, K. STOVALL¹⁹, J. SWIGGUM⁸, A. VENKATARAMAN¹⁷, AND W. W. ZHU¹ ¹ Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie, Auf dem Hügel 69, D-53121 Bonn, Germany; pfreire@mpifr-bonn.mpg.de ² Max-Planck-Institut für Gravitationsphysik, D-30167 Hannover, Germany ³ Leibniz Universität Hannover, D-30167 Hannover, Germany ⁴ Physics Department, University of Wisconsin–Milwaukee, Milwaukee WI 53211, USA ⁵ Columbia Astrophysics Laboratory, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA ^b Department of Astronomy, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA ⁷ Center for Advanced Computing, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA ⁸ SKA South Africa, Pinelands, 7405, South Africa ⁹ Department of Physics, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV 26506, USA ¹⁰ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Franklin and Marshall College, Lancaster, PA 17604-3003, USA ¹¹ Department of Physics, McGill University, Montreal, QC H3A 2T8, Canada ¹² McGill Space Institute, McGill University, Montreal, QC H3A 2T8, Canada ¹³ ASTRON, The Netherlands Institute for Radio Astronomy, Postbus 2, 7990 AA, Dwingeloo, The Netherlands ¹⁴ Anton Pannekoek Institute for Astronomy, University of Amsterdam, Science Park 904, 1098 XH Amsterdam, The Netherlands ¹⁵ Center for Gravitational Wave Astronomy, University of Texas—Brownsville, TX 78520, USA ¹⁶ NRAO, Charlottesville, VA 22903, USA ¹⁷ Arecibo Observatory, HC3 Box 53995, Arecibo, PR 00612, Puerto Rico ¹⁸ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z1, Canada ¹⁹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of New Mexico, NM 87131, USA ²⁰ National Research Council, Resident at the Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, DC 20375, USA Received 2016 July 20; revised 2016 August 24; accepted 2016 August 30; published 2016 November 4

ABSTRACT

We report here the Einstein@Home discovery of PSR J1913+1102, a 27.3 ms pulsar found in data from the ongoing Arecibo PALFA pulsar survey. The pulsar is in a 4.95 hr double neutron star (DNS) system with an eccentricity of 0.089. From radio timing with the Arecibo 305 m telescope, we measure the rate of advance of periastron to be $\dot{\omega} = 5.632(18)^{\circ} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. Assuming general relativity accurately models the orbital motion, this corresponds to a total system mass of $M_{\text{tot}} = 2.875(14) M_{\odot}$, similar to the mass of the most massive DNS known to date, B1913+16, but with a much smaller eccentricity. The small eccentricity indicates that the second-formed neutron star (NS) (the companion of PSR J1913+1102) was born in a supernova with a very small associated kick and mass loss. In that case, this companion is likely, by analogy with other systems, to be a light (~1.2 M_{\odot}) NS; the system would then be highly asymmetric. A search for radio pulsations from the companion yielded no plausible detections, so we cannot yet confirm this mass asymmetry. By the end of 2016, timing observations should permit the detection of two additional post-Keplerian parameters: the Einstein delay (γ), which will enable precise mass measurements and a verification of the possible mass asymmetry of the system, and the orbital decay due to the emission of gravitational waves (\dot{P}_b), which will allow another test of the radiative properties of gravity. The latter effect will cause the system to coalesce in ~0.5 Gyr.

Key words: binaries: general – gravitation – pulsars: general – pulsars: individual (PSR J1913+1102) – stars: neutron

PSR J1913+1102



(1.2 million km)

Most massive DNS ever: 2.89 solar masses!



- In discovery paper, there was the suggestion (based on analogy with other low-eccentricity systems, represented by the open circles) that this system is highly asymmetric.
- This would be a big deal: system would then be sensitive to dipolar GWs, but with the advantages of DNSs: very precise measurements of masses and orbital decay!

PSR J1913+1102

Latest timing confirms this!

- Total mass (assuming GR): 2.8887(7) solar masses.
- Mass of the pulsar 1.62(3) solar masses, mass of the companion 1.27(3) solar masses.
- Orbital decay measured already to 15 sigma.
- It matches GR prediction again, no dipolar GWs!



Freire, Wex, Shao et al. Phys. Rev. Lett, submitted

Mass of pulsar is in the gap!



FIG. 2. Effective scalar coupling (α_A) as a function of neutron-star compactness (C_A) , for $\alpha_0 = 10^{-4}$ and $\beta_0 = -3, -4, -4.5, -5$ (blue lines from bottom to top). The dashed red lines indicate the compactness of PSR J1913+1102 (right) and its companion (left). For comparison, the dotted black lines correspond to PSR J1738+0333 (left) and PSR J0348+0432. Calculations are based on EOS AP4 of [42]. Note, the blue curves are (nearly) insensitive to a change in the neutron-star EOS, while the compactness of a given NS can be significantly different for different EOSs.

Freire, Wex, Shao et al. Phys. Rev. Lett, submitted

Gap is closed: No spontaneous scalarization!

- The limits on coupling of matter with any extra scalar field already exclude spontaneous scalarization for the whole NS mass range.
- Any effects of scalar fields on insipralling orbits now beyond the reach of Adv. LIGO!



Freire, Wex, Shao et al. Phys. Rev. Lett, submitted

5. Conclusions

- Symmetric NSs, like the double pulsar system, J0737-3039, are now providing extremely precise tests of the quadrupole formula. These limits win over LIGO tests for the lower PN orders by orders of magnitude.
- With pulsar white dwarf systems like PSRs J1738+0333 and J0348+0432, we have been able to introduce strong constraints on coupling of matter to scalar fields. This has introduced strong constraints on DEF gravity, and many other theories.
- With a new double neutron star system, PSR J1913+1102, we have a NS mass intermediate between that of the previous pulsars. Orbital decay for this system excludes spontaneous scalarization.
- No DGW emission has been detected for the whole known mass range. This means that GWs are very purely quadrupolar, as expected from GR. <u>This is a fundamental constraint on the nature of</u> <u>gravitational radiation.</u>

Thank you!

For questions and suggestions, contact me at: <u>pfreire@mpifr-bonn.mpg.de</u>, or see my site at <u>http://www3.mpifr-bonn.mpg.de/staff/pfreire/</u>

To stay up to date on the latest precise NS mass measurements and GR tests, check: <u>http://www3.mpifr-bonn.mpg.de/staff/pfreire/NS_masses.html</u>

Review on NS masses and radii: Özel & Freire (2016), ARAA, 54, 401